



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,527	07/30/2003	Michael Joseph Johnson	RPS920030056US1	9264	
47052	7590 01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP PO BOX 51418			NGUYEN, HIEP T		
), CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187		
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,527	JOHNSON, MICHAEL JOSEPH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hiep T. Nguyen	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a road will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	November 2005.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)). .
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
 Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.	
·	or the common copies have		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/630,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is a response to the amendment filed November 10, 2005. Claims 1-8 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harada, US 2003/0204535.
 - a. Harada teaches a computer program product [i.e., the implementation of the file management device] comprising a computer readable medium carrying program codes executable by a computer for controlling the data files writing to and/or deleting from the hard disk drive (4), the computer program product comprises:
 - i. Program codes for recording a plurality of data files to a partition of the disk drive
 until a free space of the partition is less than a predetermined value [page 1, paragraph
 16, lines 1-7]; and
 - ii. Program codes for deleting a portion of the plurality of data files from the partition page 1, paragraph 16, lines 7-8; see also claim 4].
 - b. Harada, however, does not disclose that his data files are test files and that his program codes are executed for preparing a disk drive of a computing system for test.
 - c. Although Harada does not disclose the above-mentioned limitations, but one having ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that the broadly drafted claimed limitation of "test" basically is no more than non-functional descriptive information since no specific test is being

Application/Control Number: 10/630,527 Page 3

Art Unit: 2187

claimed. Thus, the claimed "test files" are no more than just data files such as that of Harada. Moreover, the claimed limitation of "for preparing a disk drive of a computer system for test" is no more than an intended used that is recited in a preamble of the claim. Furthermore, One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would readily recognize that the Harada operations of recording data files into the disk drive (4) data area until a free space thereat is less than a predetermined value and then deleting a portion of the recording files from the area would work equally well regardless of the intended use of the operations. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the Harada operations of data files recording and deletion as mentioned above in any suitable environment including preparing a disk drive of a computer system for test.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 In claim 1, line 4, "the free space" lacks proper antecedent basis. This is because "free space" in a partition could be reasonably interpreted as a single free space among multiple free spaces in a partition or interpreted as a collectively free space in the partition. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,527

Art Unit: 2187

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2187

HTN